Quartz crystal microbalance coated with PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber for a high-performance humidity sensor
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Abstract. Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) coated with poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) and polystyrene sulfonate mixed with polyvinyl alcohol (PEDOT–PSS/PVA) nanofiber has been fabricated as a humidity sensor using the electrosprinning method. Three types of PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensors are fabricated with different needle-to-collector electrospinning distances. The scanning electron microscope images confirm the presence of beads in the nanofiber structure. The results show that the sensor mass deposition increased with the decrease in needle-to-collector distance. The best sensor performance is exhibited by the sample with medium needle-to-collector distance (QCM NF 2). The QCM NF 2 nanofiber sensor shows excellent sensitivity of up to 33.56 Hz per percentage point of relative humidity, with rapid response (5.6 s) and recovery (3.5 s) times, good linearity, excellent repeatability, low hysteresis, and long-term stability and response. The QCM PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor provides a simple method to fabricate high-performance humidity sensors.

1 Introduction

Recently, various types of humidity sensors have been proposed (Lensch et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). A humidity sensor with high sensitivity, rapid response time, low hysteresis, and long-term stability has become the main goal to pursue (Haidry et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2017). To date, several sensing methods, including resistance, capacitance, optical fiber, and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), have been used to develop a humidity sensor (Blank et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2019). A QCM is a kind of piezoelectric crystal resonator, of which the frequency resonance relies on the change of the loading mass. The QCM is often used to develop a gas or vapor sensor (Beißner et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Rianjanu et al., 2018d; Xu et al., 2018). Many researchers used the QCM to fabricate a high-performance humidity sensor (Jin et al., 2017; Nie et al., 2017). A humidity sensor based on the QCM provides real-time, accurate, and convenient detection. The frequency resonance of an uncoated QCM is naturally influenced by the change of the humidity level. However, the response is relatively small and deficient to utilize as a humidity sensor. The performance of a humidity sensor based on the QCM can be increased by modifying the QCM surface using coating materials. Various sensing materials, such as polymers, metal oxides, and some functional materials, have been introduced to modify the QCM sensors (Pascariu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). Hygroscopic and hydrophilic materials have often been used because of their superior capability of absorbing water molecules (Chen et al., 2016).
Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT–PSS) is a kind of hydrophilic polymer that is often used in organic electronic devices (Muckley et al., 2017). The hydrophilic properties of PEDOT–PSS makes it a promising sensing material for a humidity sensor based on the QCM method. Several studies have reported the development of a humidity sensor using PEDOT–PSS as sensor active layer (Hossein-Babaei et al., 2019; Park et al., 2018; Taccola et al., 2013). Furthermore, the use of PEDOT–PSS polymer for developing a humidity sensor using a quartz crystal microbalance sensor platform was also available. Jaruwongrungsee et al. (2011) obtained a high-sensitivity humidity sensor using quartz crystal microbalance printed PEDOT–PSS (Jaruwongrungsee et al., 2011). Meanwhile, a composite of PEDOT–PSS and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) as an active layer of the QCM humidity sensor was also applied (Choi et al., 2015). Another work utilized PEDOT–PSS to fabricate a QCM-based multimodal humidity and pressure sensor (Muckley et al., 2016).

Various methods, including spin coating, electrospinning, drop casting, and self-assembled monolayer methods, have been utilized to coat a thin polymer film onto the QCM substrate (Rianjanu et al., 2018b, 2019; Triyana et al., 2018). Compared with those fabricated using other methods, electrospun nanofiber has the advantages of higher specific surface area (SSA) and porosity, which lead to a high performance (Adamyan et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2010). Electrospinning is a simple, continuous, and efficient process used to fabricate nanofiber films. The morphology of electrospun nanofiber depends on several parameters, including the needle-to-collector electrospinning distance, applied high voltage, and solution viscosity of the polymer solution (Rianjanu et al., 2018c; Rodoplu and Mutlu, 2012). When these parameters are maintained, the electrospinning method will produce a controllable nanofiber with high reproducibility. A nanofiber structure possesses a high surface area, which is beneficial to its utilization as a humidity sensor (Ding et al., 2009). Thus, the use of PEDOT–PSS mixed with polyvinyl alcohol (PEDOT–PSS/PVA)-nanofiber-coated QCM chips to develop a humidity sensor has considerable potential. In this study, we aim to develop a humidity sensor based on QCM chips using PEDOT–PSS/PVA to produce nanofiber as a sensing layer. Since PEDOT–PSS polymer cannot be electrospun independently due to its low viscosity, it is often mixed with a high-viscosity and water-soluble polymer. We used PVA to improve the electrospinning ability of the PEDOT–PSS polymer, as has been done previously (Kumar et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2011).

### 2 Materials and method

PEDOT–PSS PH 1000 (1.3% (w/w)) dispersed in water was purchased from Heraeus C. Starck, Goslar, Germany. PVA with a molecular weight of 89 000–98 000 Da was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA. AT-Cut QCM sensors with a gold electrode, diameter of 6 mm, and resonant frequency of 10 MHz were purchased from Novaetech, Turin, Italy.

The preparation of the electrospinning solution was divided into two steps. First, the PVA solution was prepared by dissolving 1.2 g PVA powder in 10 mL distilled water and stirring at a temperature of 95°C for 2 h to obtain a homogeneous solution. Second, the PVA solution was mixed with the PEDOT–PSS aqueous dispersion at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v). Then, the mixed solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h to ensure the homogenous dispersion of PEDOT–PSS. The parameters were selected on the basis of the optimization procedure presented in a previous study (Chotimah et al., 2016). Then, the mixed solution was transferred into a 10 mL plastic syringe for the electrospinning process. The process was conducted in an ambient environment for 30 s with a high voltage of 10 kV using tip-to-collector distances varying from 7.5 to 12.5 cm. The nanofiber was collected on top of the QCM electrode and dried overnight prior to use. For convenience, the sensor was fabricated using tip-to-collector distances of 7.5, 10, and 12.5 cm, indicated as QCM NF 1, QCM NF 2, and QCM NF 3, respectively. The detailed electrospinning parameters used with respect to the produced sensor names are listed in Table 1. The schematic of the electrospinning setup and the chemical structure of the material used are shown in Fig. 1. The morphology of the nanofiber samples was analyzed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM JEOL JSM-6510).

The schematic illustration of the experimental setup used in the humidity test is shown in Fig. 2 and similar to that reported previously (Hidayat et al., 2017). Three types of QCM coated with nanofiber were placed at the top part inside the sensing chamber. To monitor the humidity level inside the chamber, we used the calibrated humidity and temperature sensor SHT31. The humidity variation process is similar to that reported previously (Hidayat et al., 2017). Moreover, the frequency resonance and frequency shift of the QCM sensor were measured using a laboratory-made frequency counter, as reported previously (Rianjanu et al., 2018a; Triyana et al., 2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Electrospinning distance (cm)</th>
<th>Frequency shift (Hz)</th>
<th>Coating load (ng)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QCM NF 1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8200</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCM NF 2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCM NF 3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Frequency shift and calculated coating load after nanofiber deposition.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology analysis of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber

Figure 3 shows the SEM image of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor. The figure shows that the electrospun nanofiber exhibits a bead-on-string structure for all samples. The bead-on-string structure of the nanofiber can be attributed to the low viscosity of the electrospinning solution. QCM NF 1 and QCM NF 3 show more beads than QCM NF 2. The increase in the number of beads in a nanofiber structure leads to the decrease in its active surface area (Jia et al., 2017) and sensing sensitivity. The 3-D nature of the nanofiber sensor helps facilitate easy contact with water molecules, providing a good candidate for application as a sensitive humidity sensor based on the QCM.

The mass deposited (Δm) on top of the QCM surface is strongly related to its frequency shift (Δf) calculated using the Sauerbrey equation (Sauerbrey, 1959) and expressed as follows:

$$\Delta f = -\frac{2f_0^2}{A\sqrt{\mu_q\rho_Q}} \Delta m,$$

where $f_0$ is the frequency base of the QCM (Hz), $A$ is the active crystal area (cm$^2$), $\mu_q$ is the shear modulus ($2.95 \times 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$), and $\rho_Q$ is the density of the crystal (2.65 g cm$^{-3}$) (Sauerbrey, 1959). The frequency resonance of the QCM before and after deposition was measured at a low humidity level (8% relative humidity [RH]). The frequency shift and calculated loading mass deposition on the QCM surface are shown in Table 1. The table shows that the loading mass decreased with the increase in the tip-to-collector distance. When the deposition rate of the nanofiber process was maintained at a certain level, the amount of nanofiber deposited on the collector depends on the distance and deposition time. At a deposition time of 30 s, the distance affects the mass deposition. The longer the distance is, the less nanofibers are produced.

3.2 Humidity sensing profile

Figure 4a shows the frequency response of the QCM nanofiber sensor under the influence of the increasing hu-
Figure 4. (a) The PEDOT–PSS/PVA QCM response to the increasing humidity level and (b) $\Delta f$ value of the QCM sensor exposed to different humidity levels.

Figure 4b shows the sensitivity of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor to the change of the humidity level. The data points in Fig. 4b were taken at the steady-state level of QCM response (after 30 s from the switch on). The sensitivity of the analytical characteristics was determined as the slope of the linear fit of the curve shown in Fig. 4b. The sensitivity value was determined to be $-15$, $-35$, and $-17$ Hz % RH$^{-1}$ for QCM NF 1, QCM NF 2, and QCM NF 3, respectively.

Moreover, the determination coefficient ($R^2$) was determined to exceed 0.964, indicating good sensor linearity. This result indicated excellent sensitivity compared with the results of the previous studies (see Table 2). The good sensitivity of the sensor can be attributed to the high surface area of the nanofiber, as mentioned previously.

Other works also noted that the sensitivity of the QCM humidity sensor was proportional to the loading mass of its active layer (Wang et al., 2010). However, this result is different from ours, as the highest sensitivity was exhibited by the QCM NF 2, which has medium loading mass. The QCM NF 1 sample has the highest loading mass, followed by the QCM NF 2 and QCM NF 3 samples. However, the highest sensitivity was exhibited by the QCM NF 2. We speculated that this phenomenon could be attributed to the higher surface area of the QCM NF 2 sample than that of the other samples. As pointed out in the previous study (Jia et al., 2017), the morphologies of PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber influence its SSA, which in turn affects the sensitivity of the sensor. Thus, it must be noted that the sensitivity of the sensor depends not only on the mass deposition but also on the SSA.

Both response and recovery characteristics are some of the most important parameters in determining the performance of a humidity sensor. A fully dynamic response (response–recovery) of the nanofiber humidity sensor is shown in Fig. 5. The response was recorded during exposure to a low humidity level (32 %) and a high humidity level (67 %). The figure shows that a complete response (absorption) and recovery (desorption) process was performed during the measurement. Moreover, the response time, which is defined as the response value equaling 90 % of the maximum response ($t_{90}$), was achieved within 8.8, 5.9, and 5.6 s for QCM NF 1, QCM NF 2, and QCM NF 3, respectively. Meanwhile, the recovery time of the sensor was obtained within 4.0, 3.5, and 3.9 s, for QCM NF 1, QCM NF 2, and QCM NF 3, respectively. Both response and recovery times of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor were faster than those reported in other studies (Table 2). The rapid response and recovery time can be attributed to the rapid absorption of water molecules by the porous structure of nanofiber.

Repeatability is one of the important dynamic characteristics of a humidity sensor. To investigate the repeatability of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA sensor toward the change of the humidity level, the sensor was exposed repeatedly to low (40 %) and high (58 %) humidity levels. Figure 6a shows the QCM humidity sensing repeatability test for 10 consecutive cycles. The figure shows that the frequency of each nanofiber sensor reaches a similar response value after 10 consecutive cycles. The figure shows that the frequency of each nanofiber sensor reaches a similar response value after 10 consecutive cycles. The frequency also returns to the previous value after purging, indicating an excellent repeatable sensor. The standard deviation for 10 consecutive cycles was determined to be less than 2 %, justifying the excellent repeatability. Figure 6a also illustrates that the PEDOT–PSS/PVA sensor changes rapidly when in contact with water molecules.
Table 2. Performance comparison of various humidity sensors based on the QCM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensing material</th>
<th>Deposition method</th>
<th>Sensing range (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (Hz per percentage of RH)</th>
<th>Response time (s)</th>
<th>Recovery time (s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black phosphorus nanosheet</td>
<td>Drop casting</td>
<td>11–97</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yao et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO thin film</td>
<td>Spin coating</td>
<td>6–93</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yao et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA/PVA</td>
<td>Electropun</td>
<td>20–95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Drop casting</td>
<td>11–94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Havare et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO nanostructure</td>
<td>Thermal evaporation</td>
<td>5–97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI micro or nanodots</td>
<td>Electropun</td>
<td>20–80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jaruwongrungrunsee et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDOT–PSS/PVA</td>
<td>Electropun</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. One cycle of the dynamic response of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber QCM humidity sensor.

Long-term stability is important for the applications of humidity sensors. The frequency response of QCM sensors was regularly tested at 50% RH for 16 d to evaluate their long-term stability. During this period, each QCM sensor was used to measure the humidity level once every 2–3 d. Figure 6b displays the frequency shift as a function of time over 16 d for all sensor samples. The first response is relatively stable during 16 d of measurement for the QCM chips, indicating the long-term stability of the QCM humidity sensor.

Hysteresis is defined as the noncoincidence relationship between loading and unloading behavior of a sensor. The loading and unloading curves of a perfect sensor normally follow the same path, which could be different for different sensor morphologies. The hysteresis investigation was conducted by measuring the change of the frequency sensor with the consecutively increasing and decreasing humidity levels. Figure 7a shows the frequency shift of QCM NF 2 during the increase and decrease in RH. The figure shows the de-

Figure 6. (a) The frequency shift of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor during the rapid change of the RH level (low humidity = 40% to high humidity = 58%) for 10 consecutive cycles and (b) long-term stability graph of the PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber-coated QCM humidity sensor.
Figure 7. (a) PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber QCM NF 2 humidity sensor $\Delta f$ during the increase and decrease in humidity level and (b) hysteresis profile.

Hysteresis of the frequency response of the QCM nanofiber sensor on the absorption and desorption of water molecules. The steady-state response value of the QCM nanofiber sensor corresponds to its humidity value plotted in the hysteresis graph shown in Fig. 7b. The hysteresis level can be determined using Eq. (2) (Bae et al., 2017), as follows:

\[
\text{hysteresis} = \frac{(f^a_r - f^d_r)}{S},
\]

where $f^a_r$ and $f^d_r$ are the frequencies at $r$% RH during the absorption (a) and desorption (d) processes, respectively, and $S$ is the sensitivity of the sensor. The hysteresis of QCM NF 1, QCM NF 2, and QCM NF 3 was determined to be 1.3 %, 0.25 %, and 0.64 % RH, respectively. The lowest hysteresis level was exhibited by the QCM NF 2, which can be attributed to the high porosity of QCM NF 2. The results indicated that all of the QCM sensors have low hysteresis and high potential for use as a humidity sensor.

4 Conclusions

This work describes the fabrication and sensor characteristic investigation of QCM coated with PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber as a humidity sensor. The PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber sensor possessed a bead-on-string structure, as confirmed by the SEM images. The nanofiber sensor exhibits excellent sensitivity, with rapid response and recovery times, good repeatability, long-term stability, and low hysteresis (less than 1.3 %). The highest sensing performance was exhibited by the QCM NF 2. The hydrophilic group on PEDOT–PSS is responsible for the sensing mechanism via hydrogen bonding with the hydroxyl group of PSS molecules. Furthermore, this work shows the potential of PEDOT–PSS/PVA nanofiber for use as a humidity sensor with good sensing performance.
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