Optical and impedimetric study of genetically modified cells for diclofenac sensing
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Abstract. Whole-cell biosensors, based on genetically modified yeast cells, were employed to detect anthropogenic micropollutants (e.g. drugs). Specific stimuli, e.g. traces of drugs, lead to the induction of fluorescence in the respective cells. Receptors of the cells detect specific signal molecules and induce the formation of fluorescent proteins. In this work, genetically modified cells of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 were confined in a four-chamber microfluidic cell, providing an optical monitoring of the cell behaviour and their supply with the nutrients. The measurements of the time-dependent fluorescence intensity were performed with different concentrations of the drug diclofenac, and the sensitivity of yeast cells to diclofenac was demonstrated. Cell viability was monitored by simultaneous impedance recording.

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic micropollutants such as drugs are often barely removed in water treatment plants, eventually entering the water cycle. Pharmaceutical residues in natural and treated waters have ecotoxicological effects on the aquatic wildlife and can lead to organ damage and general impairment in animals. The anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac is one of the most prescribed and most frequently detected compounds in wastewaters and the environment. Low concentrations (1 µg L⁻¹) of diclofenac can lead to death of some fish species due to liver and kidney failure (Schwaiger et al., 2004).

In practice, there are very accurate and reliable analytical methods available for the detection of diclofenac in surface water, e.g. liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (Hernando et al., 2006; Ferrer-Aguirre et al., 2016), high-resolution mass spectrometry with liquid chromatography (Richardson and Ternes, 2014), gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (Deng et al., 2003), or ultra performance liquid chromatography (Nováková et al., 2006; Petrie et al., 2015). However, they require time-consuming and costly sampling and subsequent analysis in laboratory environment and necessitate special laboratories with appropriate staff and logistical efforts. Therefore, small, sensitive, robust, and online capable biosensors are needed for on-site applications (Steinke et al., 2018; Rau et al., 2014).

In this context, whole-cell biosensors, based on genetically modified yeast cells, which produce a fluorescent protein upon sensing diclofenac, are of great interest because of their low-cost mass production and cost-effective instrumentation, and the possibility of integrating them into multi-array or microprocessor-controlled diagnostic tools. Multisensors, based on living cells as biological transducers, could contribute to an inline-capable wastewater analysis approach.

Receptors of the cells detect specific signal molecules, and directly or upon activation of a signal cascade induce the transcription of a reporter gene, leading to the production of a fluorescent protein (Adeniran et al., 2015; Schuller et al., 2017). If specific receptors for the substance to be detected are unknown, compound-responsive promoters can be used. The possible cross-sensitivity mediated by different transcription factors (TFs) could be lowered or eliminated by incorporating additional signal-specific TF binding sites that enhance the desired signal (Schuller et al., 2017).
The diclofenac-responsive promoter of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* *PDR5* gene is involved in the pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR), a mechanism that enables cells to become resistant to different cytotoxic compounds. In yeast, diclofenac targets are the mitochondrial respiratory chain subunits Rip1p of complex III and Cox9p of complex IV (Van Leeuwen et al., 2011a). Involved in diclofenac resistance in *S. cerevisiae* are cell wall signaling via the protein kinase C (PKC) pathway, altered zinc homeostasis, and the induction of PDR (Van Leeuwen et al., 2011b). Diclofenac induces transcription of the *PDR5* gene encoding a plasma membrane ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter that acts as the main contributor in this PDR response (Van Leeuwen et al., 2011b). Hence, the diclofenac-responsive *PDR5* promoter is an appropriate candidate for a promoter engineering approach (Schuller et al., 2017).

For quantitative determination of the reporter protein, a real-time quantification of the fraction of viable cells in the biosensor is necessary (Posseckardt et al., 2018), e.g. by fluorescence or by impedance spectroscopy or by a combination of both methods (Günther et al., 2017; Schröder et al., 2017; Toebenh Steinhäuser et al., 2018).

In this work, a novel whole-cell biosensor is presented, in which a perfusion-designed microfluidic system is combined with an effective and long-term-stable packaging enabling the simultaneous read-out of optical and impedimetric signals. The genetically modified yeast cells are completely enclosed in this packaging; the medium continuously flows through the channels and chambers with living cells. To create a more realistic cell environment, nutrients and other important media factors were continuously supplied by fresh media and removal of waste products. The analysis of the concentration-dependent optical signal was performed during long-term measurements with diclofenac in the measurement medium. Cell metabolic activity was monitored by simultaneous impedance recording.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Chemicals

The following media were used for the culturing and suspending yeast cells.

- **Minimal medium** (MM): 20 g L\(^{-1}\) glucose, 5 g L\(^{-1}\) ammonium sulfate, 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 60 mg L\(^{-1}\) L-histidin, 80 mg L\(^{-1}\) L-leucine, 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) L-methionin (Carl Roth GmbH, Germany).

- **Synthetic defined medium** (SD) (Formedium, UK): 2 % glucose, 0.17 % yeast nitrogen base without ammonium sulfate and without amino acids, 0.5 % ammonium sulfate.

- **Synthetic complete medium** (SC): 6.9 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast nitrogen base (of which 5 g L\(^{-1}\) is (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\)), Formedium, UK), 20 g L\(^{-1}\) d(+) glucose (Carl Roth GmbH, Germany), and 2.002 g L\(^{-1}\) Kaiser mix SC complete (Formedium, UK).

- **Yeast extract peptone dextrose** (YPD): 2 % glucose, 2 % bacto-peptone, 1 % yeast extract.

- **Phosphate-buffered saline** (PBS): 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10.0 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 1.76 mM KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), pH 7.4 (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany).

- **Buffer solution**: 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole, 20 mM TRIS.

Potassium chloride (KCl) was purchased from Merck (Germany) and diclofenac sodium salt (D6899) from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Germany). Low-melting agarose (Plaque agarose, 840101) was obtained from Biozym Scientific GmbH (Germany).

#### 2.2 Cells and cell culture

In this study, cells of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (*S. cerevisiae*) strain BY4741 were used. In order to verify the sensitivity of the photonic transducer, the photodiode current was measured in dependence on the concentration of isolated enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) as well as of *S. cerevisiae* BY4741-p426GPDR-eGFP cells that constitutively express eGFP (Mumberg et al., 1995) (for details, see the data in Sect. S1 and Figs. S2, S3, and S4 of the Supplement). However, in the whole-cell sensor device, *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells were used because of the faster folding of tGFP compared to eGFP (Evdokimov et al., 2006). Cells transformed with the multicopy plasmid p426PDR5-tGFP, applying the 5’ untranslated region (UTR) of the *PDR5* gene, show a diclofenac-dependent expression of the turbo green fluorescent protein (tGFP) (Schuller et al., 2017; Van Leeuwen et al., 2011b).

Preparation of *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells

Yeast cells of strain BY4741 were transformed with 1 µg plasmid p426PDR5-tGFP, utilizing Zymo Research Yeast transformation kit II according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transformed cells from overnight cultures (minimal medium in conical flasks, 30 °C, 180 rpm) were centrifuged (3000 × g, 1 min, 20 °C), washed once in minimal medium, and resuspended in minimal medium. The optical density at λ = 600 nm of an appropriate diluted sample was determined with a VWR V-1200 spectrophotometer. For subsequent experiments, the required number of cells were centrifuged down and resuspended in the corresponding volume of minimal medium.

The cell numbers were determined by measuring the optical density of the cell suspension at 600 nm (OD\(_{600}\)). The relationship between the cell number and OD\(_{600}\) was linear.
only for OD_{600} \leq 0.3. Therefore, the cell suspension was diluted in order to obtain OD_{600} between 0.1 and 0.2. Afterwards, the cell numbers were calculated with an OD_{600} = 1 corresponding to 10^7 cells mL^{-1}. Additionally, the cell numbers were controlled by means of the transmission-type inverted microscope Bresser-Science IVM-401 with the Neubauer improved cell counting chamber.

To adjust the optical density of OD_{600} = 2, the cell number was determined as stated above, pelleted by centrifugation, washed, and resuspended in double-concentrated MM. In order to immobilize cells, 40 µL of the cell suspension with OD_{600} = 2 in double-concentrated MM was mixed with 40 µL of 1.5% low-melting agarose in distilled water at 50°C, resulting in OD_{600} = 1 and a 0.75% agarose in single MM. Afterwards, each of the lower chambers (a measuring chamber and a reference chamber) of the four-chamber microfluidic cell, placed on the glass substrate, was filled with 40 µL of the cell suspension–gel mixture and covered with a porous polycarbonate (PC) membrane (Fig. 1). After the installation of the upper part of the microfluidic cell, two chambers of the upper part were connected to a pump. The measurement started after solidification of the cell suspension–gel mixture by cooling down to 21°C. During the measurements, the upper microfluidic chamber of the measuring channel was overflowed with diclofenac (DCF) containing MM, whereas MM without diclofenac was pumped through the reference channel.

2.3 Design of the microfluidic cell

The living cells were immobilized on the glass substrate in a four-channel microfluidic cell, allowing the independent measurements for the measuring and reference channels (Fig. 1). The optical transmitter–receiver module was installed below the glass substrate. For the monitoring of the cell behaviour, a microfluidic cell (made from polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), Sylgard 184, Dow-Corning Inc.) was used. Two lower chambers (15 mm × 5 mm × 0.5 mm) of the four-chamber microfluidic cell, placed on the glass substrate, were filled with 40 µL of the cell suspension–gel mixture and covered with a porous polycarbonate membrane (Unique-Membrane, thickness 20 µm, pore size 1 µm, Oxyphen AG, Wetzikon, Switzerland). Afterwards, the upper part of the microfluidic cell made using a 22×22 MicCell polycarbonate rohling system (GeSiM mbH, Radeberg, Germany) (Gast et al., 2006) was installed. Two chambers of the upper part were connected to a pump and served for the nutrient or measuring solution supply with a rate of 20 µL min^{-1}. The yeast cells (cell size ca. 5 µm) were completely enclosed in this packaging, had no contact with the environment, and were supplied with the nutrients. The packaging enabled a simultaneous monitoring of optical and impedimetric signals by using glass substrates with coated transparent ITO (indium tin oxide) interdigitated electrode arrays (see Fig. S5).

2.4 Optical read-out

The optical signal was detected using a photonic transducer SFD100 (OPTO-MST Sensoren und Systeme GmbH, Erfurt, Germany), based on a photodiode OC808 (effective active area 1 cm²). The transducer was integrated in an optical module (CiS Forschungsinstitut für Mikrosensorik GmbH, Erfurt, Germany) comprising two blue LED light sources (470 nm, one for each channel) and the corresponding excitation–emission filter set for the green fluorescent (GFP) proteins (Tobehn-Steinhäuser et al., 2018). The photodiode current was measured by means of a current-voltage converter, based on an operational amplifier OP177, and a LabJack U6-PRO card.

The LED light sources and the corresponding excitation–emission filter set were chosen in accordance with the excitation–emission spectral ranges of the green fluorescent proteins. The fluorescence of tGFP (absorption maximum at 482 nm, emission maximum at 503 nm, Evdokimov et al., 2006; Tsien, 1998; Mujiono et al., 2015) was excited by a blue LED light source (470 nm, Fig. S1) and detected by an OC808 photodiode with an OG515 long-pass emission filter.
The LED light source was supplied with a constant current of 8 mA at 26 mV. The temperature near the sample was measured using a temperature sensor LM61C1Z (Texas Instruments Inc.). During the operation of the LED light source for 0.5 min, the temperature increase in the sample plane was less than 0.5 K. The experiments were carried out under clean-room conditions at a constant temperature of 21 °C.

All experiments were performed as biological triplicates. Afterwards, the mean values and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. The concentration dependence of the optical signal was fitted with OriginPro (Version 9.1G) using the Fitting analysis.

Data analysis

A four-parameter logistic function (Eq. 1) was used for a regression analysis of the sigmoidal dose-response curve:

\[ y = A_1 + \frac{A_2 - A_1}{1 + 10^{(\log_{10} x - x_0)p}}, \]

where \( x \) is the concentration of diclofenac and \( y \) is the optical signal. The range between the lower (\( A_1 \)) and upper (\( A_2 \)) asymptotes is defined as the dynamic signal range, whereas only the 10 %–90 % block of this range is determined as a working range. The inflection point (\( x_0 \)) represents the analyte concentration which corresponds to an increase of 50 % of the calibration curve. The factor \( k \) was used in compliance with the IUPAC rules (Inczedy et al., 1998; IUPAC, 2008), the limit of detection \( \text{LoD} \) was calculated as

\[ x_{\text{LoD}} = k \frac{s_L}{p}, \]

where \( s_L \) is the standard deviation of the signal without the analyte (i.e. of the blank measurements) and \( p \) is the slope of the calibration curve. The factor \( k = 3 \) was used in compliance with the IUPAC rules (Inczedy et al., 1998; IUPAC, 2014).

The time-dependent increase in the optical signal in the presence of diclofenac was fitted using the modified Gompertz model (Zwietering et al., 1990):

\[ y = A \exp \left\{ -\exp \left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}e}{A} (\lambda - t) + 1 \right] \right\}, \]

with the lag phase duration \( \lambda \) and the maximum specific growth rate \( \mu_{\text{max}} \). For the optical signal, \( y = \ln(I/I_0) \) applies, where \( I_0 \) is the initial value of the signal and \( I \) is the signal at time \( t \); the asymptote \( A = \ln(I_{\text{max}}/I_0) \) is the saturation value reached with \( I_{\text{max}} \), and \( e = \exp(1) \). The maximum specific growth rate \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is defined as the tangent in the inflection point of the three-phase growth curve. The lag time \( \lambda \) is defined as the \( t \)-axis intercept of this tangent. The inflection point (\( t_{50} \)) represents the time of a half-maximal effect. This model is often used in biochemistry to describe the behaviour of microorganisms under different physical or chemical conditions (Arroyo-López et al., 2009; Zwietering et al., 1990).

Alternatively, in order to better fit an initial phase of the growth curve, the following two-part logistic function can be used:

\[ y = A_1 + \frac{A_2 - A_1}{1 + 10^{(\log_{10} x - x_0)p_1}} + \frac{A_4 - A_3}{1 + 10^{(\log_{10} x - x_0)p_2}}. \]

2.5 Impedimetric read-out

In order to enable a combination of optical and electrical measurements, transparent ITO (indium tin oxide, 0.5 µm thick) interdigital structures for impedance spectroscopy were coated on the B270i glass wafers (wafer thickness (1.0 ± 0.1) mm) (Fig. S5). The prepared structures were optically transparent and conductive. The living cells were immobilized on the glass substrate with two coated ITO-electrode arrays in a four-chamber microfluidic cell.

Two ITO-electrode arrays provided the independent impedance measurements for the measuring and reference channels. Each array consists of 24 fingers (with a finger length \( L \) of 11 mm). The distance \( S \) between the fingers varies between 2.5 and 133.5 µm and the width \( W \) between 5 and 186 µm (Fig. S5 and Table S1). Using a multiplexer, it was possible to connect the finger structures arbitrarily to form arrays or to operate them individually. As a result, the penetration depth \( d \) of the electric field into the medium could be varied and estimated as \( d = W + S \) (Mamishev et al., 2004). The multiplexer board (CiS, Erfurt, Germany) consists of a main board and a needle adapter board. The latter was detachably connected to the main board by two ZIF flex connections. It consists of a 2 × 12 dual analogue multiplexer, 2 × 24 spring contact pins, and four micro coax connectors. The main board was connected to an impedance analyser ISX-3v2 (Sciospec GmbH, Germany). The recorded impedance spectrum of the genetically modified yeast cells at \( t = 0 \) h, shown in Fig. S6, was used as a reference.

The cell constant \( K_{\text{cell}} = 5.4 \) cm\(^{-1} \) was determined for interdigitated structures using a calibration medium of 0.1 M KCl solution (Fig. S6).

3 Results and discussion

The sensor concept, based on living cells as biological transducers, was validated using genetically modified Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (strain BY4741), which express the turbo green fluorescent protein (tGFP).

Transformed S. cerevisiae BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells, which express tGFP under the control of the diclofenac-responsive PDR5 gene promoter, were immobilized in a 0.75 % agarose gel and confined in a microfluidic
cell, installed on the glass substrate. Two lower chambers of the four-chamber microfluidic cell, placed on the glass substrate, were filled with the cell suspension–gel mixture and covered with a porous polycarbonate (PC) membrane. Afterwards, the upper part of the microfluidic cell was installed. Two chambers of the upper part were connected to a pump and served for the supply of nutrient (MM in the reference channel) and measuring solution (diclofenac containing MM in the measuring channel). The same diclofenac-sensitive cells were immobilized in the lower chambers of the measuring and reference channels. Therefore, both channels were irradiated with light of the same wavelength (470 nm). The optical measurements were performed by periodic switching between the LED light sources of the measuring and reference channels. The difference between the values of the photodiode current of these channels was taken as an optical signal.

Figure 2 shows the change in the photodiode current $I_{PD}$ as a function of the diclofenac concentration after a 16 h overflow with DCF containing MM. For each DCF concentration, newly cultured cells were used. The optical signal was determined using the optical module, which was located below the microfluidic cell containing the immobilized yeast cells. The drift of the photodiode current reached its minimal value of 5 nA s$^{-1}$ at the excitation time of 0.5 min. Therefore, the $I_{PD}$ values at the excitation time $t_e = 0.5$ min were used to determine the characteristic concentration–response curve of the sensor. The data clearly document that the fluorescence increases with increasing DCF concentration. Upon detecting a DCF molecule with specific receptors, the cells produce a fluorescent reporter protein. We observed that an impedance increase appeared in solution with diclofenac during long-term measurements (Fig. 3). This may be caused by increasing protein production.

The concentration–response data set was fitted using the logistic fit function of Eq. (1) with four parameters. The data set and the logistic fit function were plotted in a semilogarithmic graph, as shown in Fig. 2. The limit of detection $L_{OD}$ was calculated using Eq. (2). The limit of detection (LoD) and EC50 of the calibration curve were 0.56 µM (0.18 mg L$^{-1}$) and 32 µM (10.18 mg L$^{-1}$), respectively. The working range was defined between 6.2 µM (1.97 mg L$^{-1}$) and 68.5 µM (21.79 mg L$^{-1}$). The obtained results are presented in Table 1 in comparison with literature values.

The time-dependent increase in the optical signal in the presence of diclofenac shows an initial phase in which the specific signal increase rate starts at a value of zero at the time $t_i = 3$ h and then accelerates to a maximal value $\mu_{max}$ in a certain period of time, resulting in a lag time $\lambda$ (Fig. 3). In addition, the curve contains a final phase in which the rate decreases and finally reaches zero, so that an asymptote $A$ (see Eq. 3) is reached. The experimental curve was fitted using Eqs. (3) and (4), and the values $\lambda = 7.3$ h, $\mu_{max} = 0.02$ h$^{-1}$, and $t_{50} = 9.9$ h were obtained for the DCF concentration of 100 µM. For DCF concentrations of 25, 50, and 75 µM, the values of 13.5, 12.1, and 10.7 h, respectively, were obtained for the time $t_{50}$ of a half-maximal effect. Besides the detection after a defined time (as in Fig. 2), a kinetic analysis is also feasible.

Recent data by Schuller et al. (2017) based on fluorescence microscopy showed that $S.\ cerevisiae$ BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells react to diclofenac with a time delay $t_i$ that decreases with increasing DCF concentration. For DCF concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 µM, fluorescence changes were observed after 11, 6, and 3 h, respectively. The fluorescence response reached its saturation at a DCF concentration of 75 µM DCF (Schirmer et al., 2018). The smaller saturation value for 100 µM DCF is possibly due to the inhibitory effect of the high DCF concentrations on the cell growth (Van Leeuwen, 2011a). As an expression of the fluorescent proteins depends on vital cells, measuring impedance should help to expand the knowledge gained from fluorescence measurements. For example, a change in impedance might hint at different viability of the cells in the chambers.

As recently shown by means of fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry, the fluorescence intensity of samples with $S.\ cerevisiae$ BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells correlates with the fraction of fluorescent cells within the cell population in dependence on the DFC concentration and the time after drug application (Schirmer et al., 2018). Therefore, in order to compare the results of different experiments, it is necessary to determine the fraction of fluorescent cells in the sample. Additionally, one has to ensure that the copy number of the PDR5-tGFP construct per cell is identical. A suitable measure to avoid copy number variation that is commonly observed in the case of multicopy plasmids (Karim et al.,...
Table 1. Limit of detection (LoD) and working range for detection of diclofenac in comparison with literature values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LoD (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Working range (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELISA(^a)</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{-8})</td>
<td>(0.2–2 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Hlaváček et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULISA(^b)</td>
<td>(5 \times 10^{-8})</td>
<td>(0.1–1 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Hlaváček et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIfS(^c)</td>
<td>(2.84 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(0.40–2.23 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>PBS buffer</td>
<td>Rau et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIfS(^c)</td>
<td>(1.13 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(0.44–6.22 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>Rau et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical SPR(^d)</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>(1.24–80 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>HEPES buffer</td>
<td>Altintas et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical whole-cell sensor</td>
<td>(1.8 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(1.97–21.79 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>Minimal medium</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiometric electrode</td>
<td>(2.9 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(3.2 \times 10^{-3}–3.18)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Brennan et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). \(^b\) Upconversion-linked immunosorbent assay (ULISA). \(^c\) Reflectometric interference spectroscopy (RIfS). \(^d\) Surface plasmon resonance (SPR).

Figure 3. Time-dependent change in the photodiode current \(I_{PD}\) and the impedance modulus \(|Z|\) at 100 kHz for living \(S.\ cerevisiae\) BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells, immobilized in 0.75 % agarose gel in the lower microfluidic chambers (7 \(\times 10^6\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)). The upper microfluidic chambers were overfl owed with MM, containing 0 \(\mu\)M DCF (in the reference channel) and 100 \(\mu\)M DCF (in the measuring channel), respectively, at a rate of 20 \(\mu\)L min\(^{-1}\).

4 Conclusions

In this report we introduce a device of a biosensor in which immobilized yeast sensor cells are completely enclosed in a microfluidic cell with no direct contact with the environment. The packaging provided the reliable and long-term stable detection of the sensor cell-caused optical signal as well as the simultaneous read-out of the impedimetric signal for monitoring the cell viability. Solutions with different diclofenac concentrations were used to demonstrate the sensitivity of \(S.\ cerevisiae\) BY4741 p426PDR5-tGFP cells in the detection of the model analyte diclofenac. Ongoing experiments address the development of sensor cells with a higher sensitivity and a better signal stability, e.g. by genomic integration of the PDR5-tGFP construct, to allow the comparison of different experiments. On the hardware level, future activities will focus on the development of a small detection unit for the read-out of the fluorescence. Such sensor systems, based on the whole-cell concept, could contribute to an improved, inline-capable wastewater analysis.

Data availability. The underlying measurement data are not publicly available and can be requested from the authors if required.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/jsss-8-215-2019-supplement.

Author contributions. Conceptualization was by GR, KO, and GG; experiments and data analysis were performed by MG; preparation of cells was done by FA; preparation of ITO-electrode arrays was done by ITS; preparation of the multiplexer board was done by SH; preparation of the optical read-out module was done by SG; writing and original draft preparation were by MG; writing review and editing were done by MG, GR, KO, and GG; visualization was by MG.
Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue “Dresden Sensor Symposium 2017”. It is a result of the Dresden Sensor Symposium 2017, Dresden, Germany, 4–6 December 2017.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Christian Schirmer, Juliane Posseckardt, and Wolfgang Fichtner from the Kurf-Schwabe-Institut für Mess- und Sensortechnik e.V. Meinsberg as well as Steffen Howitz from the GeSiM mbH for fruitful discussions and the help to prepare the microfluidic cell. The financial support by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research is kindly acknowledged (BioSAM HIGS 03WKCL01F).

Financial support. This research has been supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant BioSAM HIGS-03WKCL01F).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Fred Lisdat and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References


